



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/460,223	12/13/1999	NAOYASU MIYAGAWA	JEL-28567RE-D	2209

7590 07/18/2002

JAMES E LEDBETTER ESQ
STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER LLP
SUITE 850
PO BOX 34387
WASHINGTON, DC 200434387

EXAMINER

HINDI, NABIL Z

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2653

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2002

12 + 13 + 14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/460,223

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 12

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Krista Zele, SPRE (3) _____
(2) Jim Ledbetter, atty (4) _____

Date of Interview 6-12-02, 6-28-02, 7-8-02

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: not specific.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

On 6-12-02, it was discussed that review of 6 related reissues found issues of obvious double patenting amongst several of the related files. Mr. Ledbetter offered to file t.d.s in all 6 related files to overcome issues of double patenting. Discussions on 6-28-02 & 7-8-02 were focused on tracking the t.d.s and confirming they had been located, matched to the files and processed.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

KRISTA ZELE
SPECIAL PROGRAM EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600